

# psf-weather-station:



# realistic inputs to atmospheric PSF simulations Claire-Alice Hébert, Stanford University/KIPAC

## **Correlations in the Rubin PSF**

- A point-spread function (PSF) determines how light from a point source will spread when imaged through an atmosphere and instrument.
- The atmospheric component of a PSF is *spatially correlated*; magnitude of PSF shape correlations are significant when compared to correlations in observed galaxy shapes (cosmic shear signal).



### psf-weather-station

A data-driven software package that delivers environmental input parameters for realistic atmospheric PSF simulations.



- Data sources (configurable):
  - Weather forecasts: analysis datasets Ο from European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF).
  - Local wind data: weather tower at Ο Gemini-S.
  - Log-normal distributions of ground and Ο upper atmosphere seeing: parameters from Tokovinin et al 2004, fit to

- Due to persistent weather conditions, spatial correlations in the PSF are themselves likely to be *temporally correlated*.
- For accurate and unbiased weak lensing measurements, PSF modeling and correction algorithms must be robust to both of these correlations.
- This poster presents a work-in-progress test of current PSF modeling algorithms (e.g. PIFF) and leverages knowledge of Rubin's environment.

### Telescope environment



- Data from Gemini-South (<2km from Rubin):
  - Weather tower wind Ο
    - measurements
  - 30-sec PSFs extracted from  $\bigcirc$

- Empirical models:
- Osborn & Sarazin (2018) estimate optical turbulence profile from forecast data. Use wind shear as driver of turbulence (not valid near ground).
- Optional method includes nonzero correlation between seeing and wind.



# **Example simulation**

#### MASS/DIMM data.





- Zorro speckle imager data
- Very dominant wind direction
- Possible correlation between speeds, directions, and seeing

How will this affect the PSF at Rubin?

## Atmospheric PSF simulations

- Approximate turbulent layers as von Kármán phase screens.
- Screens drift across the field of view during a simulated exposure.
- Photons accrue phase changes as they travel through these screens, resulting in nonuniform wavefronts at the aperture.

#### Input environmental parameters:

- Phase screen altitudes
  Outer scale L<sub>0</sub> • Turbulence profile
- Number of screens • Wind velocities
- Fried parameter  $r_0$

How do we choose representative values for these parameters?

**Illustration of** atmospheric PSF simulation with

 $\vec{v}_{wind}$ 

- Rubin-like simulation run using the GalSim software package and the psf-weather-station inputs displayed above.
- Resulting PSF sizes and shapes show directional patterns that match the wind direction; in general most strongly related to wind at ground.



# What's next?

- See *Mya Do*'s poster for a validation study.
  - Mya compares simulations run with psf-weather-station vs "random" (ImSim-like) input parameters. • Spatial correlations of PSF ellipticity have a more consistent anisotropy in psf-weather-station simulations than those with randomly set inputs.



- Continue validation: compare simulations to PSF catalogs from DES.
- Simulate AuxTel/ComCam PSFs based on local telemetry, compare PSFs measured during commissioning with predictions.
- Use psf-weather-station to evaluate the impact of realistically correlated atmospheric PSFs on Rubin cosmology constraints:
  - Simulate an ensemble of LSST-like atmospheric PSFs; run state-of-the-art PSF modeling + correction.
  - Propagate residuals through weak lensing analysis to estimate the impact on cosmological parameters.
  - Use this framework to estimate whether any residual bias is averaged away in the co-adding process.



I thank my advisor Pat Burchat, the LSST DESC PSF working group, and gratefully acknowledge funding from the Stanford DARE doctoral fellowship program and the DOE computational science graduate fellowship.





Participant in the LSST Corporation's program for student researchers at the 2022 Rubin PCW.